ABSTRACT.—Knowledge of evolutionary history is based extensively on relatively rare fossils that preserve soft tissues. These fossils record a much greater proportion of anatomy than would be known solely from mineralized remains and provide key data for testing evolutionary hypotheses in deep time. Ironically, however, exceptionally preserved fossils are often among the most contentious because they are difficult to interpret. This is because their morphology has invariably been affected by the processes of decay and diagenesis, meaning that it is often difficult to distinguish preserved biology from artifacts introduced by these processes. Here we describe how a range of analytical techniques can be used to tease apart mineralization that preserves biological structures from unrelated geological mineralization phases. This approach involves using a series of X-ray, ion, electron and laser beam techniques to characterize the texture and chemistry of the different phases so that they can be differentiated in material that is difficult to interpret. This approach is demonstrated using a case study of its application to the study of fossils from the Ediacaran Doushantuo Biota.

INTRODUCTION

The bulk of the animal fossil record consists of biomineralized remains such as bones, teeth, or shells. The preservation of soft tissues occurs only rarely in Konservat-Lagerstätten. These assemblages provide more complete data on the anatomy of ancient organisms and the diversity of their communities than can otherwise be obtained. In the Burgess Shale, for example, census counts show that 86% of species and 98% of individuals would not be preserved in shelly assemblages (Conway Morris, 1986). Inevitably, knowledge of evolutionary history depends heavily on fossils with soft-tissue preservation, which have provided critical insights into the most formative events in the history of life (Selden and Nudds, 2012). Fossils from Konservat-Lagerstätten preserve more of the organisms’ anatomy and often reveal unique combinations of characters that provide data that are important for testing hypotheses of evolutionary relationships between groups (Briggs, 2003).

It is tempting to suppose that exceptionally preserved fossils have undergone virtually no decay and can be compared to living organisms as easily as living organisms can be compared to another. This would be a mistake: these organisms have invariably undergone microbial decay, which often plays a key role in the authigenic mineralization of soft tissues (Briggs, 2003; Donoghue and Purnell, 2009). It is therefore vital to consider the process of microbial decay when interpreting exceptionally preserved fossils. Diagenetic processes are also important because they can introduce geological artifacts that can resemble anatomical structures despite having nothing to do with the biology of the organism (Bengtson and Budd, 2004). Difficulties in understanding the effects of these processes have meant that interpretations of exceptionally preserved fossils are often among the most contentious, with debates hinging on the identification of true biological anatomy and its distinction from pseudo-anatomical features.

In one such example, soft tissues including red blood cells have been reported from the bones of dinosaurs and other vertebrates from as long ago as the Cretaceous (Schweitzer et al., 2005, 2007; Schweitzer, 2011). This interpretation has not been accepted uncritically, with opponents arguing that the structures are better interpreted as a mixture of diagenetic iron oxide framboids and unmineralized biofilms (Martill and Unwin, 1997;
Kaye et al., 2008), and challenging the molecular evidence used to support an origin as preserved soft tissue (Pevzner et al., 2008).

The Precambrian record is rife with cases where the biogenicity of putative fossils has been called into question. For example, filamentous structures from the 3.5 Ga Apex Chert were initially accepted as probable photosynthetic cyanobacteria-like organisms (Schopf, 1993), but there has since been an intense debate over whether they are fossils at all (Brasier et al., 2002; Schopf et al., 2002; Schopf and Kudryavtsev, 2012, 2013; Marshall and Marshall, 2013; Pinti et al., 2013). The same issues have arisen in astrobiology, as exemplified by debates over the interpretation of the Martian meteorite ALH84001, which was initially interpreted as preserving fossil evidence of bacteria-like life (McKay et al., 1996). These claims have been hotly debated, with other workers proposing abiogenic processes that might be more likely to have formed the structures in question (Golden et al., 2000).

The primary reason that such debates persist is that it is difficult to disentangle preserved biological structures from the results of geological void-filling and overprinting. In reality, there is no clear distinction between fossilization and diagenesis, which are part of the same suite of processes. Moreover, most fossil material is likely to have a complex multiphase diagenetic and geological history that introduces further complications.

The processes of decay are as much about information gain as about information loss, preserving insights into the environments of death, decomposition, and diagenesis in microbial mineral fabrics and geochemistry (Briggs, 2003). Paleontologists, however, usually focus on the original biological anatomy of the organism, and some general predictions regarding the nature of early mineralization that preserves this anatomy versus later mineralization can be made. In principle, one might expect crystals that replicate labile soft tissue to form rapidly and therefore to be small and have random orientation. Mineralization that occurred later in diagenesis might be expected to grow slowly from a preexisting substrate and, therefore, be composed of large, well-formed crystals that have their long axes perpendicular to the substrate surface (Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012). This dichotomy is simplistic in that it tends to lump multiple phases of diagenetic and geological mineralization into a single category. Nevertheless, it is a distinction that has proven to be useful in the resolution of such debates, which require a careful analytical approach to characterize the anatomy and chemistry of the fossil and to distinguish the various phases of mineralization. Ideally, these phases should be characterized in uncontroversial material that either originates from the same deposit or is preserved in the same way before using this information to inform the interpretation of more contentious structures. A variety of X-ray, electron, ion, and laser-based techniques can and have been employed to obtain the necessary detailed insight. How such an integrated approach can operate is described by outlining some of the analytical techniques that can be applied (see Table 1 for a summary), then a case study is presented showing how some of these methods have been applied to the study of fossils from the Ediacaran Doushantuo Biota. This is a suitable example because many of areas of contention center on whether or not structures have been correctly interpreted as original anatomy. The list of potentially useful methods is obviously not exhaustive, but it constitutes a subset of available methods that are particularly informative with regard to fossil preservation. Paleontologists are encouraged not only to apply available analytical methods that seem appropriate, but also to stimulate and partake in the development and refinement of analytical technology by making it part of fossil investigations.

**ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

**Backscattered electron imaging**

Imaging of backscattered electrons (BSE) is usually carried out on a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and enables the texture as well as aspects of the chemistry of a sample to be examined at high resolution (Orr et al., 2002). This technique captures beam electrons that are backscattered after interaction with the sample. The electrons in the beam are more likely to collide with atoms with higher atomic number and be elastically backscattered. As a result, the number of electrons reaching the detector is proportional to the atomic numbers and density of the sample material. This means that in a BSE image, the grayscale level reflects the average atomic number of materials proportionally, with brighter regions corresponding to materials of higher atomic number and darker regions...
Table 1.—Comparison of methods discussed in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Data Produced</th>
<th>Used to Characterize</th>
<th>2D/3D</th>
<th>Size of Specimen</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backscattered electron imaging (BSE)</td>
<td>Images with gray level proportional to atomic number</td>
<td>Texture; some chemical information</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;100 mm</td>
<td>nm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)</td>
<td>Elemental maps or quantitative analysis of points</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;100 mm</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodoluminescence microscopy</td>
<td>Maps of optical luminescence</td>
<td>Texture; some chemical information</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;100 mm</td>
<td>nm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (nano-SIMS)</td>
<td>Chemical, molecular, and isotopic composition</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;25 mm</td>
<td>nm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times of Flight SIMS (ToF-SIMS)</td>
<td>Simultaneous chemical, molecular, and isotopic analyses of numerous compositions</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;100 mm</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchrotron rapid scanning X-ray fluorescence (SRS-XRF)</td>
<td>Elemental maps and quantitative analysis of chemistry</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;1000 mm</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-CT</td>
<td>Maps of x-ray attenuation</td>
<td>Texture; 3-D structure</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>&lt;250 mm</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchrotron radiation X-ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM)</td>
<td>Maps of x-ray attenuation</td>
<td>Texture; 3-D structure</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>&lt;600 mm; mm scale for maximum resolution</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused ion beam tomography (FIB)</td>
<td>Tomographic datasets of multiple BSE images</td>
<td>Texture; can in principle be combined with chemical analysis</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>&lt;1 mm</td>
<td>nm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM)</td>
<td>High-resolution textural information</td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>&lt;1 mm</td>
<td>nm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman microscopy</td>
<td>Spectra indicative of molecular structure</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2D/3D</td>
<td>&lt;1 mm</td>
<td>µm scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reflecting material of lower atomic number. BSE imaging can capture nanoscale resolution and provides qualitative chemical information. It has the disadvantage of requiring destructive cutting, grinding, and polishing preparation for optimal results, although it can be used on samples with topographic relief. In polished samples, this technique allows the texture, general chemistry, and pattern of crystal growth to be characterized at high resolution, allowing criteria for identifying different mineral phases to be established (Figs. 1A, B; 2A–C, F).

**Cathodoluminescence microscopy**

When electrons impact a luminescent material, they cause photons to be emitted. In cathodoluminescence microscopy, an optical detector captures these photons and a map of the optical activity is obtained. Differences in trace-element abundances across a sample affect the luminescence and provide valuable information about crystal growth and therefore about diagenetic processes (Scott and Collinson, 2003). This technique can be carried out using a cathodoluminescence detector in an SEM or, alternatively, by using a specialized cathodoluminescence microscope. In either case, a polished surface is required.

**Electron probe microanalysis**

Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) can either provide quantitative analysis of the chemical composition of a point on a specimen, or produce maps of the distribution of elements over the specimen, using a spot size as small as 1 µm in standard thermionic source EPMA (Orr et al., 1998; Orr and Kearns, 2011) or even smaller in field emission gun EPMA systems (FEG-EPMA). In EPMA, an electron beam interacts with the sample, resulting in the emission of X-rays from the sample in the same way as SEM. These X-rays are captured by spectrometers, typically wavelength-dispersive X-ray spectrometers, which use the characteristic X-ray wavelengths emitted by different elements to measure their abundance. This method can provide detailed

---

**Figure 1.**—Patterns of mineralization in the Ediacaran Doushantuo biota. A) BSE image of an alga; black arrow indicates low atomic number regions composed of nanoscale crystals that preserve algal anatomy, white arrow indicates encrusting diagenetic mineralization preserved in a high atomic number phase composed of micrometer-scale crystals; Scale bar = 20 µm. B) BSE image of part of an embryo-like fossil that has been prepared by ion milling; Scale bar = 1 µm. C) STEM image of an embryo-like fossil; Scale bar = 1 µm. (D–G) EPMA images of an alga showing abundance maps of D) phosphorous, E) calcium, F) fluorine, G) carbon; very bright regions in the carbon map correspond to resin; scale bars = 50 µm. (A, D–G previously figured in Cunningham et al., 2012).
chemical information at a micrometer-scale resolution, but requires the destructive production of polished sections to produce optimal results. The use of EPMA analysis allows identification of chemical criteria for distinguishing between mineral phases (Fig. 1D–G).

**Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry**

There are a number of related techniques that rely on Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS). In these techniques, ions (usually cesium or oxygen) are sputtered onto the surface of a specimen, causing ionized particles to be emitted. These secondary ions can then be analyzed using a mass spectrometer in order to provide information about the chemical, molecular, and isotopic composition of the specimen. Using nanoSIMS (Wacey et al., 2010) or ion probe (Xiao et al., 2010) analysis, it is possible to carry out analyses with higher resolution and sensitivity than is possible under EPMA. Time-of-Flight SIMS (ToF-SIMS) allows multiple compositions to be analyzed simultaneously (Lindgren et al., 2011; Orlando et al., 2013), in contrast to other techniques that are typically limited to approximately five spectrometers. These techniques are inherently destructive because they sputter material from the sample, and require destructive polishing for sample preparation.

**Synchrotron rapid-scanning X-ray fluorescence**

In synchrotron rapid-scanning X-ray fluorescence (SRS-XRF), an X-ray beam causes X-ray fluorescence, which is then captured by a detector. Because each element emits a
characteristic energy, the technique can be used to measure elemental abundance. The specimen is moved with respect to the X-ray beam to allow element maps to be produced. With a synchrotron source, elements can be detected in parts-per-million concentrations. This technique has the advantage in that large specimens that would not fit in an EPMA vacuum chamber can be analyzed rapidly at a resolution approaching that achieved in EPMA. It can be applied to flat specimens such as Mesozoic Plattenkalk material (Bergmann et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2011; Manning et al., 2013) without preparation, although topography produces artifacts. Both EPMA and SRS-XRF can be used to produce chemical characterizations of fossil specimens. Higher resolutions can be achieved with EPMA, but SRS-XRF can provide better sensitivity.

X-ray tomography

X-ray tomography involves obtaining a series of X-ray images of a specimen at different orientations with respect to an X-ray beam. These images are then used to produce a series of parallel images that map X-ray attenuation in the specimen. Such images are usually presented as negatives, in which brightness correlates positively with X-ray attenuation. With the aid of software for computed tomography, this dataset can be sectioned virtually in any orientation and used to make 3-D images of the specimen that can be dissected digitally. Synchrotron Radiation X-ray Tomographic Microscopy (SRXTM) has proven particularly valuable in the study of small specimens with soft-tissue preservation (Figs. 2D, E, 3B, C; Donoghue et al., 2006; Tafforeau et al., 2006). This approach uses an electron accelerator and storage ring to produce a high-brilliance, monochromatic (single wavelength), coherent X-ray beam. This allows nondestructive 3-D analyses at sub-micrometer resolution with few scanning artifacts. Synchrotron-based phase-contrast imaging, which is based on X-ray refraction at material boundaries rather than attenuation, can provide improved contrast between materials with similar properties (Friis et al., 2007; Lak et al., 2008). Tomographic analyses are essential for characterizing internal structures in three dimensions, which can provide data on morphology and structure that can be critical in determining the identity of the specimens.

Ion milling and focused ion beam tomography

In ion milling, a beam of ions (usually argon) is fired at a specimen from an angle, sputtering material from the surface. This process results in a very smooth and flat surface, which is ideal for high-quality BSE and EPMA analysis and essential for techniques such as electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), which determines the crystal structure of a specimen. A focused ion beam (FIB) setup also has a beam of ions (usually gallium) that can be used at high beam currents for this kind of milling. In dual beam systems, the ion beam is combined with an electron beam, meaning that a specimen can be milled and then imaged in situ using SEM. In FIB tomography this is carried out on a series of milled faces and used to produce a tomographic dataset of BSE images (Schiffbauer and Xiao, 2011; Wacey et al., 2012). This destructive technique can give very high-resolution data: the slices can be as little as 50 nm apart and each SEM image can have nanoscale resolution. In principle, chemical analyses can also be carried out in-situ, allowing chemical data to be incorporated into tomographic analyses, although there are still methodological difficulties associated with this in practice. Ion milling has been used to prepare samples for BSE analysis (Fig. 1B). FIB tomography has been successfully applied to Precambrian fossils (Schiffbauer and Xiao, 2009, 2011; Wacey et al., 2012).

Scanning transmission electron microscopy

Prior to scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) analysis, an ultra-thin slice is cut and lifted out of the specimen using a dual beam FIB system. A beam of electrons is then passed through this slice, producing interaction between the specimen and the beam. The electrons are captured after they have passed through the specimen and used to produce a very high-resolution image (resolution of 0.1 nm can be achieved). STEM differs from conventional TEM in that the beam is focused on a small spot that is scanned over the sample in a raster. This approach produces the highest resolution imaging, but cutting and lifting out the sample is very time-consuming, and requires a skilled operator. Although the approach is destructive, the slices can be retained after analysis. STEM analysis allows crystals to be imaged at very high resolution, suggesting that it has great promise for characterizing very fine crystal textures (Fig. 1C).

Laser Raman spectroscopy

In Raman spectroscopy, monochromatic laser
light interacts with molecules in the specimen resulting in scattered photons that have different energy to the incident photons. The energy of the scattered light gives information about the molecular structure of the sample. This technique has been used in paleontological studies aiming to distinguish organic kerogen from abiogenic graphite formed by geological processes (Brasier et al., 2002; Schopf et al., 2002; Schopf and Kudryavtsev, 2011). It has also been used to distinguish calcite from aragonite (Taylor et al., 2008), and to act as a proxy for diagenetic alteration of oxygen isotope composition (Thomas et al., 2011). Raman spectroscopy can be used in conjunction with confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) to provide three-dimensional characterization of the morphology and molecular structure of a fossil specimen (Schopf and Kudryavtsev, 2011).

**CASE STUDY: DISCRIMINATING BIOLOGY FROM GEOLOGY IN THE DOUSHANTUO BIOTA**

The ca. 570 Ma Doushantu Biota of southern China provides a rare snapshot of multicellular life in the Precambrian. It is famous for yielding a number of candidates for the oldest animal fossils in the record, including embryonic (Xiao et al., 1998), larval (Chen et al., 2000), and adult (Chen et al., 2004) forms. The interpretation of these fossils has been controversial (Xiao et al., 2000; Bengtson and Budd, 2004; Bailey et al., 2007; Huldtgren et al., 2011), but has important implications for the understanding of the timing and tempo of early metazoan evolution. The interpreted presence of animals, even bilaterians, in the Doushantu Biota has been used to argue for an early diversification of metazoan phyla.
(Chen et al., 2004, 2009b). On the other hand, the interpreted absence of bilaterians from this well-sampled assemblage has been suggested as a good maximum constraint for the divergence of crown-bilaterians for use in molecular clock analyses (Benton et al., 2009). Herein, we describe how an integrated approach using analytical techniques can provide the necessary data for interpreting the soft-bodied fossils from the Doushantuo Biota.

Following the description of fossils from the Doushantuo Biota as animal embryos (Xiao et al., 1998), a series of papers describing animal fossils from the deposit were published. These included reports of cnidarian embryos and larvae (Chen et al., 2000, 2002), anthozoan polyps (Chen et al., 2002), embryonic bilaterians (Chen et al., 2000, 2006, 2009a; Yin et al., 2013), and adult bilaterians (Chen et al., 2004). Many of these studies have been criticized for paying insufficient attention to diagenetic processes (Xiao et al., 2000; Bengtson, 2003; Conway Morris, 2003; Bengtson and Budd, 2004; Bengtson et al., 2012; Cunningham et al., 2012). The first challenge is to determine which aspects, if any, of the putative fossils in question represent preserved biology and which are geological mineralization. Second, the relationship of these mineral phases to the original biology of the organism must be understood: it is important to understand, for example, whether voids filled with geological mineralization reflect the morphology of original anatomical structures. These issues can be addressed by analyzing the chemistry and crystal growth of the structures in three dimensions (Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012), using a combination of analytical instruments.

Analysis of fossils from the biota such as algae (Fig. 1A, D–G) and acritarchs, which are uncontentious in terms of the distribution of preserved biological tissue and diagenetic artifacts, revealed two main styles of preservation in the deposit. Preserved soft tissue tends to be replicated by nanoscale crystals with random orientation, while diagenetic mineralization is characterized by micrometer-scale crystals with preferential orientation of the long axes and typical void filling textures (Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012).

The application of EPMA and SEM-based energy dispersive X-ray element maps to Doushantuo fossils has enabled chemical criteria for distinguishing different phases of mineralization that are combined with the crystallographic criteria discussed above (Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012). Regions with high atomic number correspond with high relative abundances of calcium, phosphorous, and fluorine, and low abundances of carbon (Fig. 1D–G). These regions are characteristic of late-stage diagenetic mineralization. Regions with low atomic number have the inverse pattern and tend to characterize preserved biological structures (Fig. 1D–G). In the case of Doushantuo, these chemical criteria are of secondary importance to the textural criteria, but they provide valuable supporting information and may prove critical in other Lagerstätten.

When controversial claims that have been made for Doushantuo fossils are revisited in the light of these findings, many of the interpretations are seen to be problematic. Features interpreted as specific anatomical structures are indistinguishable from diagenetic crusts in the same deposits, casting great doubt on these interpretations. For example, cnidarian and bilaterian gastrulae (Chen et al., 2000, 2002) more likely represent empty cysts that have been deformed and encrusted by diagenetic apatite cements (Xiao et al., 2000; Bengtson, 2003).

These analyses have enabled a clearer understanding of how the processes of decay, preservation, and diagenesis have altered the organisms preserved in this deposit. In embryo-like specimens that preserve structures interpreted as lipid vesicles or yolk granules (Fig. 2A, B), these structures are very fine-grained with a low atomic number (Fig. 2B). This is comparable to the mineralization that is known to preserve soft tissue in Doushantuo algae (Fig. 1A). In order for these structures to become mineralized, the surrounding cytoplasm must first have been stabilized. This could have been by mineralization, although not in the form currently occupying these spaces. Alternatively, this stabilization could have been achieved by biofilms, which have been shown to replicate these regions (Raff et al., 2008). These spaces have been subsequently infilled by multiple phases of diagenetic apatite cements (Fig. 2B). The embryo-like specimens preserve structures that resemble cell membranes, but in the light of these analyses, they are better interpreted as encrustations on the exterior of the cell by diagenetic cement (Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012). In some cases, the original position of the membrane may be marked.
by a narrow void (Fig. 2A, B). Other embryo-like fossils from Doushantuo provide evidence that late diagenetic mineralization can also play a role in obliterating structures that formed earlier (Fig. 2C).

This kind of analysis has also been applied to structures proposed to be preserved nuclei (Hagadorn et al., 2006) that have the potential to provide phylogenetically informative data (Huldtgren et al., 2011). This interpretation has been challenged on the basis that these structures could have a diagenetic origin (Schiffbauer et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2012). In order to study the nucleus-like structures in Doushantuo embryo-like fossils, a specimen that had previously been imaged with SRXTM was ground to expose one of these structures (Cunningham et al., 2012). This allowed BSE analysis to be applied to these features, providing additional information and allowing the results from BSE and SRXTM to be contrasted (Fig. 2E, F). The resulting images are comparable because both are effectively related to the density of the sample. However, BSE has the advantage of producing higher resolution images than can be achieved routinely through tomography. On the other hand, SRXTM analyses have the advantages of being nondestructive and allowing 3-D characterization. Through this process, the nucleus-like structures were shown to be composed of two distinct zones of mineralization. The first zone was an outer region that was often crescent-shaped, and composed of relatively large (micrometer-scale) crystals with high atomic number, and exhibited typical void-filling textures that characterize diagenetic mineralization (Fig. 2E, F; Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012). The second zone was composed of a globular inner body that had very fine nanoscale crystals and low atomic number (Fig. 2E, F; Cunningham et al., 2012). This inner structure has the characteristics of preserved soft tissue in Doushantuo fossils. It can be concluded that the outer part of the nucleus-like structures is void-filling diagenetic cement, whereas the inner part is likely replicated biological tissue that could represent the shrunken, degraded nucleus.

Another important criterion is the three-dimensional morphology of the nucleus-like structures. This has been assessed through SRXTM analyses of Doushantuo fossils (Fig. 2D, E), which made it possible to identify specimens that had these structures, and to characterize and measure them in multiple specimens. From these analyses—which would have been impossible without a tomographic approach—it was established that the structures were consistent in occurrence, size, shape, position, and volumetric relationships (Huldtgren et al., 2011, 2012). Given this consistent occurrence, the structures are best interpreted as preserving voids created by decayed nuclei. While the structures clearly contain diagenetic cement (Huldtgren et al., 2011; Cunningham et al., 2012; Schiffbauer et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2012), some factor must have produced the regular voids that these cements fill, and the best hypothesis is that these represent the original positions of nuclei (Huldtgren et al., 2012).

Failure to consider specimens in three dimensions can lead to misinterpretation. Fossils interpreted as anthozoan polyps (Chen et al., 2002) are more parsimoniously interpreted as sections through embryo-like fossils at various stages of decay when considered in this way. The example of *Vernanimalcula* illustrates this concept. *Vernanimalcula* was interpreted by Chen et al. (2004) as the tiny adult of a bilaterian animal from the Doushantuo Biota. It is known only from petrographic thin sections, from which Chen et al. (2004) identified putative bilaterian characters including paired coelomic cavities and a mouth, through gut, and anus (Fig. 3A). If truly a bilaterian, *Vernanimalcula* would represent the oldest bilaterian animal in the record. However, this report was quickly criticized by Bengtson and Budd (2004), who argued that many of the features that were essential to the bilaterian interpretation were better interpreted as artifacts of diagenetic mineralization. Despite this, *Vernanimalcula* has been widely cited in the literature as the oldest bilaterian animal (see Bengtson et al., 2012) and additional specimens have been reported (Petryshyn et al., 2013). However, the 3-D information on Doushantuo fossils gained from SRXTM analysis provides further evidence against a bilaterian interpretation (Bengtson et al., 2012). These data support Bengtson and Budd’s (2004) contention that the *Vernanimalcula* specimens most likely represent chance sections through spherical envelopes containing degraded organic material. Bengtson et al. (2012) showed that sections through acritarchs and embryo-like specimens could indeed produce *Vernanimalcula*-like morphologies, even though they clearly did not have bilateral symmetry (Fig. 3B, C). This, in combination with the extreme similarity between diagenetic crusts from
Doushantuo and structures interpreted as cellulary preserved anatomical features of *Vernanimalcula*, argues strongly against a bilaterian interpretation (Bengtson and Budd, 2004; Bengtson et al., 2012; Cunningham et al., 2012). When diagenetic processes are taken into consideration, there is no persuasive evidence for the presence of bilaterian animals—whether adults, embryos or larvae—in the Doushantuo Biota. This removes the fossil evidence for diversification of the group by this time and hints that the divergence may have occurred later. These findings highlight the importance of assessing which aspects of a fossil specimen reflect preserved anatomy and of integrating a range of analyses in order to address this question. These aspects are certainly not unique to the Doushantuo Biota.

CONCLUSIONS

Distinguishing preserved biology from geological artifact will always be difficult because there is no clear distinction between fossilization and diagenesis. As a result, gaining an appreciation of geological versus biological aspects of fossils is often only possible through the application of analytical techniques. When studying exceptionally fossilized biota, it is imperative that the optimal technique, or, more often, combination of techniques, is chosen for the problem in hand. This depends on the chemical and physical nature of the fossil as well as that of the host rock. The work on Doushantuo fossils shows that biology can be extracted from geological overprint with careful analytical work. Moreover, details of complex diagenetic and geological histories can also be studied, providing information about the post-depositional and later environment. It is essential that the processes of decay and diagenesis are taken into account when attempting to study specimens with soft-tissue preservation because failure to do so can lead to serious errors in interpretation. Ultimately, one should beware of studies that pay little heed to taphonomy.
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