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Outline

• Background: Cloud Computing
• Problem: Ultra-large scale data centres (DCs) in production with insufficient pre-testing
• Long-Term Research Aim: To create a robust simulation modelling framework for cloud DCs
• Today: Modelling failure resilience
• Demonstrate resilience and efficiency of different redundancy scheduling algorithms
Cloud Computing: “the next step”

The commercial provision of IT has undergone “step” changes every 10 years or so...

1960s: **Mainframes** - physically huge, housed in air-con rooms
Early 70s: **Mini-computers** - more robust, compact, affordable
Late 70s: **PCs** - cheaper & smaller, single-user
1980s: **Communication LANs**: Client-server model first used. Sun’s slogan: “the network *is* the computer”
Mid 90s: Widespread adoption of the **Internet**
Now: **Cloud**: online provision of centralised utility computing
Computing as a Utility

19th Century: Electricity in Manufacturing

• Electricity generation as important to manufacturing as the factory itself, with each factory having its own generator
• Economies of scale by utility providers made local factory-generation uneconomic

Today: Computing in Business and the Home

• IT is a necessity, but no longer offers any particular advantage since hardware and software is ubiquitous and standardized
• IT can be provided remotely “in the cloud”, making in-house data centres uneconomic
Ultra-large “cloud-scale” data centres

• Cloud computing offers the provision of IT resources in every home and business “as a Service”
  – Infrastructure (IaaS), Platform (PaaS), Software (SaaS)
  – Enables companies to focus on what they should be doing (their core business), rather than running data-centres

• Providing cloud services requires ultra-large DCs
  – Tens of thousands of servers, and growing ...

• Unlike HPC, cloud providers use commodity hardware
  – Advantage comes from scale-out (massive parallelisation) not scale-up (increasing power and performance)
“Normal” Failure

“an application running across thousands of machines may need to react to failure conditions on an hourly basis” (Barosso & Hölzle, Google, 2009)

• In a DC with 300,000 servers, each with an average life of 3 years, we expect +10 deaths / hour
• Failures and outages in such large complex systems are normal: not unexpected or rare
• However, for the cloud to work, providers must guarantee availability (often “triple 9”, 99.9%, or more)
• Cloud providers need to build resilience into their systems
Where is the (simulation) model?

- Many mature engineering fields have robust industry-standard simulation frameworks for design and testing
  - E.g., SPICE for integrated circuit design, CFD for automotive
- For cloud-scale DC design no simulation model exists
- DCs are going into service without sufficient pre-testing
- At UoBristol we are attempting to build a set of simulation tools for the design and testing of cloud DCs
- Here, we present a preliminary model of resilience
Redundancy Scheduling for Resilience

• Redundancy can be used to counter-act hardware and software failures
  – Multiple instances running in parallel
• However, redundancy is costly
  – Increased computation and network communication
Data Centre Design

Hierarchical network-tree model of DC. Cloud Services run on Blade servers, which are mounted on Chassis in Racks, arranged in Aisles within a DC
Model Assumptions

• Failure can occur at any level in the hierarchy tree
• Network costs are greater when “higher” in the tree
  – Bandwidth and latency is greater between racks/aisles than between services running on the same server
• Jobs consist of a set of parallelizable tasks
• Fixed DC size with < 100% utilisation
• Tasks are scheduled using 3 simple algorithms:
  – **Random** (distribute tasks randomly across DC)
  – **Pack** (pack all tasks into the smallest region possible)
  – **Cluster** (pack all tasks within a redundancy group together, distribute groups randomly across the DC)
Redundancy Scheduling

Example: 2 jobs, each with 3 parallel tasks, using redundancy 2. Total tasks = 2 * 3 * 2 = 12.
Communication Networks

Tasks communicate with the nearest copy of every other task. Here, all communication is intra-server (on the same physical hardware).

When task 2 fails, communication costs increase from $6C_S$ to $4C_S + 2C_B$. We now have more expensive inter-server communication.
Random Scheduling
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Lots of long-distance (costly) communications, but some resilience to localised failure
Pack Scheduling
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Localised (cheap) communication, but vulnerable to localised hardware failure
Cluster Scheduling
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Mainly localised (cheap) communication, with some resilience to localised failure
Definition: Job Failure

A job fails if all redundant copies of a task fail.
Results: Resilience

- Increase in failure rate -> decrease in resilience
- Increase in tasks per job -> decrease in resilience
- Overall, Cluster is the most resilient algorithm

20,000 servers
Communication Costs of Redundancy

- Random & Pack: costs scale linearly with R
- Cluster: communication costs invariant (R>1)
- Pack most efficient, Random least efficient
Conclusion & Future Work

• Demonstration of how simulation models of cloud-scale DCs can be used to determine the resilience and cost of redundancy scheduling algorithms

• Models will enable cloud providers to tune their schedulers based on expected failure and demand

• **Future work**: To create an open-source, extensible simulation framework for modeling cloud DCs
  • CloudSim: to be open-sourced in 2012
  • Will include Resilience, Pricing, Power Efficiency, Cooling, etc.
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